

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,427		08/26/2003	Bharat Jani	WLCO 0175 PUS	WLCO 0175 PUS 4535 EXAMINER	
23869	7590	06/15/2006		EXAM		
		RON, LLP	CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
6900 JERIC SYOSSET,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1761		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				15			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/664,427	JANI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Arthur L. Corbin	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communications and the second seco	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1:				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	ì			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	المارة	Summon (DTC 442)				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,427

Art Unit: 1761

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on March 29, 2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

Page 2

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by

signing below:

Milton Cano.

TECHNOON PATENT EXAMINER

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hager et al (cols. 2-4) in view of McDonald (cols. 1-2) and "LMC International". Hager et al discloses extruding a chewing gum material from an extruder, batch forming chewing gum pieces and then pouring or injecting a center-fill fluid into the interior of the

Art Unit: 1761

gum pieces. It would have been obvious to coat the center-filled gum in Hager et al with a molten candy material and insert a stick therein since it is well known to coat bubble gum with such a candy coating and insert a stick therein in the preparation of candy suckers in order to provide the suckers with an attractive form and appearance and with a means to hold the suckers, as evidenced by McDonald. Further, it would have been obvious to form the suckers into the shape of a ball since it is old to prepare lollipops, each having the shape of a ball, as evidenced by "LMC International".

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-21 submitted in the appeal brief have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/664,427

Art Unit: 1761

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4

6-7-06